UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/584,100	06/22/2006	Franz Amtmann	AT03 0073 US1	1415
65913 <b>NXP</b> , B.V.	7590 03/20/200	EXAMINER		
NXP INTELLE	ECTUAL PROPERTY	HSIEH, PING Y		
M/S41-SJ 1109 MCKAY DRIVE SAN JOSE, CA 95131			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2618	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/20/2008	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ip.department.us@nxp.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/584,100	AMTMANN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	PING Y. HSIEH	2618			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>22 Jul</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This     Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,4,5 and 10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 2, 3 and 6-9 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 22 June 2006 is/are: a)	vn from consideration.  r election requirement. r.	by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the orection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/22/06.	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	te			

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Objections

1. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: typographical error "determinating" in line 1 is considered as determining. Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 5 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Paratore et al. (U.S. PATENT NO. 6,294,997).
  - -Regarding claims 1, 5 and 10, Paratore et al. disclose a method of determining a disconnection time information which is significant for a disconnection period in which disconnection period an integrated circuit of a data carrier designed for contactless communication with a communication partner device has not been adequately supplied with power by means of a power supply field (the RFID tag which can measure the time that has elapsed after the last charging of the capacitor that acts as the main power source as disclosed in col. 2 lines 21-30), wherein at least one first storage capacitor of the integrated circuit is charged while the integrated circuit is being adequately supplied (the interrogating field provided by the RFID interrogator 100 induces a current in the inductor 14 which charges the capacitor 12 as

disclosed in col. 4 lines 47-53), and wherein the at least one first storage capacitor is discharged from a first starting time when the integrated circuit is subsequently no longer adequately supplied (the resistor coupled to the capacitor determines the amount of current leak from the capacitor after the capacitor has been fully charged as disclosed in col. 4 lines 3-16), and wherein the disconnection time information is determined on the basis of the discharge behavior, which is affected by the IC material and by radiation, of the at least one first storage capacitor (the discharge behavior is affected by the IC material and temperature as disclosed in col. 5 lines 11-31 and col. 6 lines 28-51). Even thought Paratore et al. fail to specifically disclose the determined disconnection time information is corrected in dependence on the effects of the IC material and/or on at least one radiation effect, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skills in the art at the time of invention to modify the length of time that an RFID tag is exposed to a certain environmental condition to be corrected in dependence on the effects of the IC material or temperature. One is motivated as such in order to provide a more accurate tracking and identifying environment-sensitive goods.

- 4. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Paratore et al. (U.S. PATENT NO. 6,294,997) in view of Heinrich et al. (U.S. PATENT NO. 6,404,325).
  - -Regarding claim 4, Paratore et al. disclose all the limitations as claimed in claim 1. However, Paratore et al. fail to specifically disclose the disconnection

time information is used to decide whether the data carrier is to respond to certain prompt commands of the communication partner device.

Heinrich et al. disclose the data carrier is to respond to certain prompt commands of the communication partner device (see col. 2 lines 28-25 and col. 5 lines 27-30).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skills in the art at the of invention to modify the integrated circuit of a data carrier as disclosed by Paratore et al. to respond to certain prompt commands as disclosed by Heinrich et al. One is motivated as such in order to make sure the voltage across  $C_{\text{AUX}}$  has not fallen to a threshold level where the information maintained in the mirror latches is no longer trustworthy.

## Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 2, 3 and 6-9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to PING Y. HSIEH whose telephone number is (571)270-3011. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (alternate Fridays) 8:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lana Le can be reached on 571-272-7891. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/P. Y. H./ Examiner, Art Unit 2618

/Lana N. Le/ Acting SPE of Art Unit 2618